Transverse Spin Physics at STAR

RHIC Spin: Next Decade

Steve Heppelmann Penn S

Penn State University

Berkeley, Nov. 20-22, 2009

Historically transverse spin has been a source of much controversy. Before 1980 transverse single spin asymmetries were such a challenge to the emerging QCD theory that they were systematically deemphasized by many. STAR and RHIC have made Giant Steps toward clarifying the nature of the Puzzle that is Transverse Spin.

This is what research is supposed to be! The Citations tell the story.

Transversity: birth and growth

Ralston, Soper, NPB 152 (79)

Citz

Sivers function: birth and growth

D. Sivers, PRD41 (90) Citations



PQCD Collinear Factorization

- Gives meaning to quark and gluon, the confined internal degrees of freedom (DOF) in QCD.
- Provides concrete connections between these internal DOF and experimental observables. (Jets, some hadrons, photons)
- Gives an experimental connection to a description of nucleon and non-perturbative bound state (Nucleon parton densities).
- Provides a recipe for approximate calculation of cross sections for certain interactions in certain kinematic regions.
- Has a <u>well defined kinematic</u> <u>region</u> where calculations are most likely dependable.

STAR



Generalized Factorization PQCD++

- Applies to a wider variety of experimental measurements.
- •Gives similar meaning to quark and gluon, the confined internal degrees of freedom (DOF) in QCD. (same)
- Provides concrete connections between these internal DOF and experimental observables.
 (Jets, some hadrons, photons) (same)
- Gives an experimental connection to a description of nucleon and non-perturbative bound state (Nucleon parton densities). (same)
- Provides a recipe for approximate calculation of cross sections for certain interactions in certain kinematic regions??? (perhaps same)
- Has less clearly defined rules as to when calculations are most likely dependable.

STAR



Strong Interactions



Previous observation of Single Spin Transverse Asymmetry for Forward Production of



1) Nominally (perhaps not significantly) larger asymmetry for η than π^{o} 2) Large Uncertainty in Eta A_N. $\sqrt{s} = 19.4 \,GeV \qquad \langle p_T \rangle \sim 1 \,GeV / c$



Prior to 1980, much of the QCD establishment believed that Transverse Spin Asymmetries were a challenge to the broad applicability of QCD.

Then many PQCD proponents argued against the importance of these data.

In this note we have pointed out that the asymmetry off a polarized target, and the transverse polarization of a produced quark in $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$, or in $qq \rightarrow qq$ at large p_{τ} , or in leptoproduction, should all be calculable perturbatively in QCD. The result is zero for $m_a = 0$ and is numerically small if we calculate m_{σ}/\sqrt{s} corrections for light quarks. We discuss how to test the predictions. At least for the cases when *P* is small, tests should be available soon in large- p_{τ} production [where currently $P(\Lambda) = 25\%$ for $p_T \ge 2$ GeV/c], and e^+e^- reactions. While fragmentation effects could dilute polarizations, they cannot (by parity considerations) induce polarization. Consequently, observation of significant polarizations in the above reactions would contradict either QCD or its applicability.



won the 2009 J. J. Sakural Prize for Theoretical Physics for his work in perturbative quantum chromodynamics. The prize is given annually to physicists to recognize and encourage their outstanding achievements in particle theory. Collins shares the award with Dave Soper, a professor of physics at the University of Oregon, and Keith Ellis, a physicist at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory.

A theoretical physicist, Collins focuses on the theory of strong nuclear interaction in elementary particles, known as quantum chromodynamics (QCD). He has played a vital role in formulating and proving many of the mathematical results that underly QCD calculations. Without the aid of these results, it would not be possible to interpret ensemental data produced by modern biob-energy naticle accelerations or to use the

Past Recipients:

Kane, Pumpkin and Repko PRL 41 1978

In sharp contrast, 2009 PSU News!!!

Transverse Spin is Starting to Get Respect



6

- Jet Mid-rapidity (Left) and Pi0 Forward Rapidity (right)
- Cross section are consistent with NLO pQCD.





Forward π^0 Single Spin Asymmetry

3.5



At $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV, π^0 cross-section measured by STAR FPD is consistent with the NLO pQCD calculation. Results at $<\eta>=3.3$ and <η>=3.8.

$$A_{N} = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}} \cong \frac{1}{P} \frac{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow} S^{\downarrow}}}{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow} S^{\downarrow}}} - \sqrt{S^{\uparrow} N^{\downarrow}}}{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow} S^{\downarrow}}} + \sqrt{S^{\uparrow} N^{\downarrow}}}$$



Collinear Factorization

 p_T

Cross Section~ (Probability to select required parton A (x_1) from proton 1) x (Probability to select required parton B (x₂) from proton 2) x (Probability that partons A+B => C + X) $f_1(X_1) \sim (1-X_1)^3$

x (Probablity that parton C Fragments into observed final state)

For Forward Production of Pi/Eta ..

$$\sigma(X) \propto \int_{x_f}^{1} dZ f_1\left(X \sim \frac{X_F}{Z}\right) \sigma_{parton} D_{parton}^{\pi^0}(Z)$$

$$q(X) \sim (1-X)^3$$

$$d(Z) \sim (1-Z)$$

$$\sigma(X) \propto (1-X_F)^5 + Order[(1-X_F)^6]$$

$$\sigma(X) \propto (1 - X_F)^5$$

 $d\sigma_{pp} \propto f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \sigma_h \otimes D_f^h$

 $X_1 \rightarrow 1$

 $f_2(X_2) \sim 1$

 $x_2 \rightarrow small$

 $D_{parton}^{\pi^0} \sim (1-Z)^1$

 $Z \rightarrow 1$

p

p



Forward Pi0 Cross Sections Scale Like seen in ISR.

At Large X_F (ie. X_F>0.4), the Pi⁰ fragment carries most of the of the jet momentum (<z> > 75%).





Observation of Eta Signal

 $50 \text{ GeV} \le E\gamma\gamma \le 60 \text{ GeV}$ $40 \text{ GeV} < E\gamma\gamma < 50 \text{ GeV}$ $60 \text{ GeV} < E\gamma\gamma < 70 \text{ GeV}$ 102 10° 10³ 10² 10³ 10² 10² 10 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.4 0.6 0.8 Mγγ (GeV) Mγγ (GeV) Mγγ (GeV) 1200 6000 1000 5000 800 4000 600 3000 400 2000 200 1000 0.4 8.0 0.4 0.8 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.6 1 0.2 0.6 -1 0.2 0.4 1.2 Mγγ (GeV) Mγγ (GeV) Mγγ (GeV)

Di-Photon Invariant Mass Spectra in 3 Energy Bins

• 3.5<Rapidity<3.8

• 3 columns for 3 energy bins

•2 rows Log/Linear

 π^0 Mass Cut

.085 GeV < $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ < .185 GeV

Eta Mass Cut .48 $GeV < M_{\gamma\gamma} < .62 GeV$







$A_N(x_F)$ in π^0 and Eta Mass Regions



- 1. $N_{photon} = 2$
- 2. Center Cut (η and ϕ)
- 3. Pi0 or Eta mass cuts
- 4. Average Yellow Beam Polarization = 56%

$$.55 < X_F < .75$$

$$\left\langle A_{N} \right\rangle_{\pi} = 0.078 \pm 0.004$$
$$\left\langle A_{N} \right\rangle_{\pi} = 0.078 \pm 0.018$$

For $.55 < X_F < .75$, the asymmetry in the η mass region is greater than 5 sigma above zero, and about 4 sigma above the asymmetry in the π^0 mass region.

Uncorrected Energy Distributions from Run 6 East FPD for pi0 and eta @ Y ~ 3.65 Sqrt [s]=200 GeV

 $.07 \text{ GeV} < M_{qq}$ Z=I(E1-E2)/(E1+E2)I<.4<.20 GeV $0.4 \text{ GeV} < M_{aa}$ <0.7 GeV EPi Entries 418646 Mean 38.9 RMS 4.943 10⁴ χ^2 / ndf 30.23 / -2 Constant 19.29 ± 0.06 Slope -0.2325 ± 0.0013 Eeta 10³ Entries 43524 41.26 Mean RMS 5.157 χ^2 / ndf 33.23 / 28 Constant 10² 17.19 ± 0.14 -0.2235 ± 0.0027 Slope 10 1 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 E=E1+E2 GeV $=(100 \text{ GeV})(X_{F})$ 12 Steve Heppelmann

If the <u>Invariant Cross</u> <u>Section is</u> proportional to

 $\frac{\left(1-X_{F}\right)^{n}}{p_{T}^{m}}$

Then near E=50, with a little calculus, we can show that:

STAR

 $\frac{dN}{d\Omega} \propto e^{-\left(\frac{(n+m)}{50 \, GeV}\right)E}$ $= e^{-(n+m)\left(0.02 \, GeV^{-1}\right)E}$ $if \quad n+m=11$ $\frac{dN}{d\Omega} \propto e^{-\left(0.22 \, GeV^{-1}\right)E}$

Alternatives to Factorized PQCD Lead to Very Different Cross Sections

Preliminary look at invariant cross section are likely consistent with conventional

$$\frac{\left(1-X_{F}\right)^{5}}{p_{T}^{6}}$$

 In contrast, analysis of low p_T Regge type processes lead to <u>to a</u> <u>different form</u> for the dependence of the cross section on (1-x_F) as Feynman x_F approach unity.

13

Steve Heppelmann

Regge Cross Section
$$\propto (1 - X_F)^2$$

L.L.FrankFurt and M.I. Strikman, Vol. 94B2 Physics Letters, 28 July 1980. and Private Communication.



P_t Dependence in Calculations of A_N

•Sivers Effect / Collins Effect

•introduce transverse spin dependent offsets in transverse momentum

•independent of the hard scattering (definition of factorization).

 $P_T \Longrightarrow P_T \pm k_T$

"±" depending on the sign of proton transverse spin direction. <u>Using our</u> (STAR) measured cross section form:

$$d\sigma^{\uparrow} \propto \frac{1}{(P_T - k_T)^6} \quad d\sigma^{\downarrow} \propto \frac{1}{(P_T + k_T)^6}$$
$$A_n \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}} = \frac{6k_T}{P_T} + O\left(\frac{k_T}{P_T}\right)^2$$

Higher Twist Effects:

Qiu and Sterman Kouvaris et. al. **Phys.Rev.D74:114013,2006**.

 A_N Fall as $1/P_T$ as required by definition of higher twist.

All of these models lead to $A_N \sim \infty 1/P_T$



For Fixed X_{F} , the asymmetry A_N does not fall with P_t as predicted by models.

- NLO PQCD <u>does describe</u> the size and shape of this forward pp cross section.
- Model calculations (Sivers, Collins or twist-3) <u>can explain</u> the X_F dependence of A_N.
- Flat or increasing dependence of $A_{\rm N}$ on $P_{\rm T}$



J. Qiu, G. Sterman, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 014004 (1998).

Theory Score Card For Factorized QCD Picture for Pi & Eta Transverse A_N

 ✓ Cross Section for Pi0 agrees with PQCD (Normalization and Shape) ✓ Dependence of cross section on X_F and Pt may be similar for Pi0 and Eta at large X_F as expected. ✓? Ratio
Eta/Pi0
nominal
40% - 50%
Yet to be
determined.

X Pt Dependence of Pi0 A_{N} .

Inconsistent with $A_N \sim 1/p_{T_c}$

15

Can a large difference in asymmetry between Pi0's and Eta's be understood in either Collins or Slvers Model? Steve I

Sivers Model

Difference Between pi0 and eta A_N?

- A fast quark in the polarized proton (probably a u quark) has initial transverse motion relative to the incident proton direction. The sign of this transverse momentum is connected to the proton transverse spin.
- The jet, (<u>apparently a u quark</u>) has a transverse direction that is biased relative to the nominal transverse momentum.
- The jet fragments with large z to produce a meson that is moving in the direction of the jet, with nearly p_T of the jet.
- Dependence of **initial state** p_T upon proton spin leads to Sivers A_N .
- Shape of cross section similar for pi0 and eta.
- This situation should be the same whether the jet fragments into a pi0 or an eta.

Collins Model

A_N vanishes as Z approaches 1

- Consider large eta A_N (perhaps of order unity) X_F~0.75, Z~.9 and p_T~3.9 GeV/c.
- Any associated jet fragments will carry limited transverse momentum,

$$k_{T} \sim (1 - Z) p_{T}$$

- If the cross section is given by
- $\frac{\rho_{\tau}^{6}}{\rho_{\tau}^{6}}$
- The Maximal asymmetry from fragmentation $p_T \rightarrow p_T + (1 + Sin(\phi)) \frac{\kappa_T}{2}$

 $\phi = fragmentation azimuthal angle from spin direction$

Leads to an **<u>extreme limit</u>** for A_N from fragmentation,

$$A_N < \frac{6k_T}{2p_T} \sim 3(1-Z) \sim .3$$

This is the most extreme case including

- 100% transverse parton polarization
- the maximum possible Collins Fragmentation function.

Comparison between η production and π^0 production?

- Gluons or η has Isospin I=0.
- u quark has Isospin I=1/2
- π⁰ has Isospin I=1.
- But we expect both mesons to come from **fragmentation of quark jets**.

$$I = 0 \begin{cases} \eta \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} - s\overline{s} \right) \\ \eta' \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} + 2s\overline{s} \right) \end{cases}$$
$$I = 1 \begin{cases} \pi^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(u\overline{u} - d\overline{d} \right) \\ \pi^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(u\overline{u} - d\overline{d} \right) \end{cases}$$
*Assume η, η' mixing angle: $\theta_P \sim -19.5^\circ$

18

Steve Heppelmann

 For Sivers Effect: Asymmetry is in the jet and should not depend on the details of fragmentation.

- For Collins Effect: Asymmetry reflects fragmentation of the quark jet into a leading η or π^0 meson. Differences in fragmentation could relate to:
 - Mass differences?
 - Isospin differences?
 - Role of Strangeness?
 - But Collins Effect Should be suppressed when $Z \rightarrow 1$



With FMS, STAR has Expanded Rapidity Coverage -1<Y<4.2

STAR Forward Meson Spectrometer 2.5 < Y < 4.0





19

Run 8 forward π^0 + hadron correlation measurements



- FMS-TPC and FMS-FMS back-to-back correlations enable di-hadron / di-jet Sivers effect measurements
- FMS-FMS near-side correlations sensitive to Collins effect & transversity

• FMS-TPC: key step toward future transverse spin γ + jet study STAR STAR

In Progress: Mid Rapidity Measurement of Collins Fragmentation A_{N}



STAR

21 Steve Heppelmann

Z

Expected Asymmetry Statistical Errors (Run 6 Transverse Data) BLUE BEAM POLARIZED YELLOW BEAM POLARIZED











2 photon Mass Distributions in four Pseudo-Rapidity Y Regions (Preliminary Energy Calibration)

Event Selection

2 Photons within cone

$$\sqrt{\left(Y_{Photons} - Y_{Eta}\right)^{2} + \left(\phi_{Photons} - \phi_{Eta}\right)^{2}} < .85$$

$$P_{t} > 2 \text{ GeV/c}$$

$$Z < .7$$





Possible Future Star Transverse Single Spin Measurements

Energy		A 111		Sivers:		Detectors
\sqrt{s}	Transverse pp	Collins	Sivers	SIDIS	Luminosity	
	Measurement			sign		
				change		
200 GeV	$\rho^{\uparrow} + \rho \to \pi^0 + X$	\checkmark	✓		30 pb ⁻¹	FMS
	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \eta + X$	\checkmark	✓			FMS
	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow jet + X$	\checkmark	✓			FMS+EMC +(HCAL?)
	$\rho^{\uparrow} + \rho \rightarrow \pi^0 + \pi^0 + X$	~	✓			FMS+EMC
	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow jet + jet + X$	~	✓			FMS+EMC +(HCAL?)
	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \gamma + X$		✓	~		FMS
	$\rho^{\uparrow} + \rho \to \Lambda + X$	~	✓			FMS+ <mark>HCAL</mark>
500 GeV	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \gamma + X$		 ✓ 	✓	20 pb ⁻¹	East FPD
	$(p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X)$					+Shower Max
	$\rho^{\uparrow} + \rho \to \Lambda + X$	~	✓			FMS+ <mark>HCAL</mark>
	$\rho^{\uparrow} + \rho \rightarrow \eta + X$	~	✓			FMS
	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + e^{-} + X$		~	~	0- 0 - 1	STAR with FMS
	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow W + X$		~	~	250 pb *	STAR with FMS



Conclusion About STAR Transverse SSA Measurements



Forward and Central Rapidity Cross Sections consistent with PQCD with collinear factorization. This <u>encourages</u> theoretical models **expanding on the essential PQCD framework**.





- In contrast to expectations, forward single spin asymmetries measured by STAR for Pi⁰ mesons at fixed Feynman X_F do not seem to fall with p_T in the range 1GeV/c< p_T <5 GeV/c.
- At large X_F, the <u>Eta asymmetry may be much larger</u> that the Pi⁰ asymmetry, which is again surprising.



 STAR will make significant measurements in the near future of transverse Single Spin Asymmetries, with EMCal coverage over a very wide range of rapidity (-1<Y<4) and these measurements will significantly enhance our understanding about the role of Collins, Sivers or "other" model variations of the PQCD.

25

